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1. INTRODUCTION

The European Association of Urology (EAU) Guidelines Group on Penile Cancer has prepared this guidelines document to assist medical professionals in the management of penile cancer. The guidelines aim to provide detailed, up-to-date information, based on recent developments in our understanding and management of penile squamous cell carcinoma (SCC). However, it must be emphasised that these guidelines provide an updated, but not yet standardised general approach to treatment and that they provide guidance and recommendations without legal implications.

Publication history information: The Penile Cancer Guidelines were first published in 2001 and updated in 2004 and 2009. The literature search for the 2009 update covered the period from October 2004 to December 2008. The reason to present such an early update can also be attributed to the recent publication of the 2009 Tumour Node Metastasis (TNM) classification which, for penile cancer, had remained unchanged since 1987. Additionally, this update allowed inclusion of relevant new references.

2. METHODOLOGY

A systematic literature search on penile cancer was performed by all members of the EAU Penile Cancer Working Panel which covered the period between October 2004 and December 2008. At the onset of the project, each member was assigned one or two topics in accordance with their particular expertise. Each panel member was teamed up with another panel member who acted as a reviewer of a section. The panel decided to avoid rare diseases and to restrict the guidelines to SCC only. Since new publications became available in the first 3 years, the initial literature acquisition resulted in a first draft for discussion in 2008. This document was reviewed and updated by the panel and published in the 2009 edition of the EAU guidelines book and as an ultra-short (pocket) edition at the Annual EAU Congress in Stockholm, Sweden. For this 2010 print, the results of the updated search performed by the panel for their scientific publication (1) covering the period between December 2008 and December 2009 was supplemented by a second search with a cut-off date of March 2010.

To date the physician data query on ‘Penile Cancer Treatment’ (Health Professional Version) published by the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health in Bethesda, MD, USA (2), remains the only evidence-based, peer-reviewed document available. No randomised controlled trials or Cochrane reviews have been published.

References used in the text have been assessed according to their level of scientific evidence (Table 1), and guideline recommendations have been graded (Table 2) according to the Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine Levels of Evidence (3). The aim of grading recommendations is to provide transparency between the underlying evidence and the recommendation given. As a result of the lack of randomised studies, the levels of evidence (LE) and grades of recommendation (GR) provided in the document are low.

Additionally, a quick reference guide is available. All texts can be viewed and downloaded for personal use at the society website: http://www.uroweb.org/guidelines/online-guidelines/.

Table 1: Level of evidence*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Type of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from meta-analysis of randomised trials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from at least one randomised trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from one well-designed controlled study without randomisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from at least one other type of well-designed quasi-experimental study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from well-designed non-experimental studies, such as comparative studies, correlation studies and case reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from expert committee reports or opinions or clinical experience of respected authorities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Modified from Sackett et al. (3).
Table 2: Grade of recommendation*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Nature of recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Based on clinical studies of good quality and consistency addressing the specific recommendations and including at least one randomised trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Based on well-conducted clinical studies, but without randomised clinical trials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Made despite the absence of directly applicable clinical studies of good quality</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Modified from Sackett et al. (3).
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3. DEFINITION OF PENILE CANCER

Penile cancer is a relatively rare SCC. It usually originates in the epithelium of the inner prepuce and glans. It shares similar pathology and natural history with SCC of the oropharynx, female genitalia (cervix, vagina and vulva), and anus. Phimosis, poor hygiene, and smoking are the major risk factors for penile cancer. Typing has been done of the human papillomaviruses (HPVs) that are responsible for the sexual transmission of genital warts, condyoma acuminata, and SCC.

An improved understanding of the natural history of the disease, earlier diagnosis, better technology, research group collaboration, and centralisation of patients in centres of excellence has improved the cure rate for penile cancer from 50% in the 1990s to 80% in recent years.

4. EPIDEMIOLOGY

In Western countries, primary malignant penile cancer is uncommon, with an incidence of less than 1.00 per 100,000 males in Europe and the United States (1,2). However, there are significant geographical variations within Europe (Figure 1), reporting an incidence greater than 1.00 per 100,000 men (3). Incidence is also affected by race and ethnicity in North America (1), with the highest incidence of penile cancer found in white Hispanics (1.01 per 100,000), followed by Alaskan, Native/American Indians (0.77 per 100,000), Blacks (0.62 per 100,000) and white non-Hispanics (0.51 per 100,000).

In contrast, in the non-Western world, the incidence of penile cancer is much higher and can represent 10-20% of malignant diseases in men ranging from an age-adjusted incidence of 0.7-3 per 100,000 people in India to 8.3 per 100,000 men in Brazil, and even higher in Uganda, where it is the most commonly diagnosed cancer.

Important risk factors include social and cultural habits, and hygienic and religious practices (4). Penile carcinoma is rare in communities that practise circumcision in newborns or before puberty (Jews, Muslims, and the Ibos of Nigeria). Early circumcision reduces the risk of penile cancer by 3-5 times. Adult circumcision does not protect against penile cancer.
In the USA, the overall age-adjusted incidence rate decreased considerably between 1973 and 2002 from 0.84 per 100,000 in 1973-1982 to 0.69 per 100,000 in 1983-1992, and further to 0.58 per 100,000 in 1993-2002 (1). In European countries, the incidence during the 1980s and 1990s was stable or increased only slightly (2). Incidence increases with age (2); however, the disease has been reported in younger men and even in children in non-western countries (3).

Figure 1: Annual incidence rate (world standardised) by European region/country*

*From Parkin et al. (2003) (3).
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5. RISK FACTORS AND PREVENTION

Risk factors for penile cancer were identified by the Karolinska Institute based on a Medline search of published literature from 1966 to 2000 (1). Strong risk factors (OR > 10) identified by case-control studies included (LE: 2a):

- Phimosis;
- Chronic inflammatory conditions, e.g., balanoposthitis, lichen sclerosus, and atrophicus (balanitis xerotica obliterans);
- Treatment with sporalene and ultraviolet A photochemotherapy.

Sexual history (multiple partners, early age of first intercourse) and a self-reported history of condylomata are associated with a 3-5-fold increased risk of penile cancer. Smoking is also a risk factor. Cervical cancer in female sexual partners is not consistently associated with penile cancer in their male partners.

In many case series, HPV DNA has been identified in 70-100% of intraepithelial neoplasia and in 40-50% of cases with invasive penile cancer. These results have been confirmed by a population-based case-control study (2). Among men not circumcised in childhood, phimosis was strongly associated with the development of invasive penile cancer (OR: 11.4; 95% CI: 5.0-25.9) and cigarette smoking was associated with a 4.5-fold increased risk (95% CI: 2.0-10.1). Human papillomavirus DNA was detected in 80% of tumour specimens and 69% were positive for HPV-16 (LE: 2a).

Smegma as a carcinogen has been clearly excluded (3). The risk of cancer of the vulva, vagina, penis, and anus is increased in patients with condyloma acuminata (4) (LE: 2b).

Human papillomavirus-16 and 18 have a causal role in 70% of cancers of the cervix, vagina, and anus and 40-50% of cancers of the vulva, penis, and oropharynx. Other cofactors are very likely to be necessary for progression from HPV infection to cancer (5). Verrucous carcinoma is not related to HPV infection (6).

In June 2006, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) licensed the first vaccine to prevent cervical cancer and other HPV-associated diseases in women (7). The vaccine protects against infection with HPV-6, 11, 16 and 18, which together are responsible for 70% of cervical cancers and 90% of genital warts. Human papillomavirus is highly transmissible, with a peak incidence soon after the onset of sexual activity. The recommended age for vaccination in girls is 11-12 years (8), with catch-up vaccination recommended in females aged 13-26 years.

However, vaccination is not a substitute for routine cervical cancer screening and vaccinated women should continue to have cervical cancer screening. Vaccination against HPV has also been recommended in men (9). Although one study has found that mid-adult women (≥ 25 years) have a high level of acceptance of HPV vaccination (10), only 33% of men wanted the HPV vaccine, 27% did not, and 40% were undecided (11). It has been decided that vaccination in men must wait for results of female HPV vaccination (12).

Interestingly, the presence of high-risk HPV DNA in penile cancer does not compromise prognosis. An early study has found no difference between HPV DNA-negative and -positive patients for lymph node metastases and 10-year survival rate (13). In a more recent study (14), disease-specific 5-year survival in the high-risk HPV-negative group was 78% versus 93% in the high-risk HPV-positive group (log rank test P = 0.03). This suggests the presence of high-risk HPV confers a survival advantage in patients with penile cancer. The virus plays an important role in oncogenesis through interaction with oncogenes and tumour suppressor genes (P53 and Rb genes) (15).
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6. TNM CLASSIFICATION AND PATHOLOGY

6.1 TNM classification
The new 2009 TNM classification for penile cancer (1) includes a change for the T1 category (Table 3). This classification needs a further update for the definition of the T2 category*. Two recent publications have shown that the prognosis for corpus spongiosum invasion is much better than for corpora cavernosa invasion (2,3).

Table 3: 2009 TNM clinical and pathological classification of penile cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical classification</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T - Primary tumour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>Primary tumour cannot be assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0</td>
<td>No evidence of primary tumour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tis</td>
<td>Carcinoma in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>Non-invasive verrucous carcinoma, not associated with destructive invasion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Tumour invades subepithelial connective tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1a</td>
<td>Tumour invades subepithelial connective tissue without lymphovascular invasion and is not poorly differentiated or undifferentiated (T1G1-2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1b</td>
<td>Tumour invades subepithelial connective tissue without lymphovascular invasion or is poorly differentiated or undifferentiated (T1G3-4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2*</td>
<td>Tumour invades corpus spongiosum/corpora cavernosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>Tumour invades urethra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>Tumour invades other adjacent structures</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N - Regional lymph nodes</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NX</td>
<td>Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0</td>
<td>No palpable or visibly enlarged inguinal lymph node</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>Palpable mobile unilateral inguinal lymph node</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>Palpable mobile multiple or bilateral inguinal lymph nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td>Fixed inguinal nodal mass or pelvic lymphadenopathy, unilateral or bilateral</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M - Distant metastasis</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M0</td>
<td>No distant metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>Distant metastasis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pathological classification
The pT categories correspond to the T categories. The pN categories are based upon biopsy or surgical excision.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pN - Regional lymph nodes</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pNX</td>
<td>Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN0</td>
<td>No regional lymph node metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN1</td>
<td>Intranal metastasis in a single inguinal lymph node</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN2</td>
<td>Metastasis in multiple or bilateral inguinal lymph nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN3</td>
<td>Metastasis in pelvic lymph node(s), unilateral or bilateral or extranodal extension of regional lymph node metastasis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pM - Distant metastasis</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pM0</td>
<td>No distant metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pM1</td>
<td>Distant metastasis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G - Histopathological grading
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>G</th>
<th>Grade of differentiation cannot be assessed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>Well differentiated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>Moderately differentiated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3-4</td>
<td>Poorly differentiated/undifferentiated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rees et al. (2) have investigated 72 patients with T2 tumours. Local recurrence (35% vs. 17%) and mortality (30% vs. 21%) rates were higher in patients with tunica or cavernosal involvement versus glands-only invasion after a mean follow-up of 3 years (LE: 2b). The authors have proposed defining T2a patients by spongiosum-only invasion and T2b patients by involvement of tunica or corpus cavernosum.

A retrospective analysis of the records of 513 patients treated between 1956 and 2006 has confirmed the above-mentioned difference between tumour invasion of the corpus spongiosum only versus corpus cavernosum (3). It also has confirmed that there are no differences in long-term survival between patients with T2 and T3 tumours, and no significant differences between N1 and N2 tumours in the 1987-2002 TNM classification (LE: 2a).

In the new UICC 2009 TNM classification (1), retroperitoneal node metastases are correctly and accurately defined as extraregional nodal and distant metastases. The difference between corpus spongiosum and corpora cavernosa invasion is not considered.

### References

### 6.2 Pathology
Squamous cell carcinoma accounts for more than 95% of cases of malignant disease of the penis. Malignant melanoma and basal cell carcinoma are much less common. It is not known how often SCC is preceded by premalignant lesions (1-4). Although SCC is the most common penile neoplasia, different types and varying growth patterns have been identified (5-7) (Tables 4 and 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4: Premalignant lesions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lesions sporadically associated with SCC of the penis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cutaneous horn of the penis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Bowenoid papulosis of the penis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Balanitis xerotica obliterans (lichen sclerosus et atrophicus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesions at high risk of developing SCC of the penis (up to one-third transform to invasive SCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Penile intraepithelial neoplasia (carcinoma <em>in situ</em>: erythroplasia of Queyrat and Bowen’s disease)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5: Penile SCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Types of SCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Classic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Basaloid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Verrucous and its varieties:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Warty (condylomatous) carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Verrucous carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Papillary carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Hybrid verrucous carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Mixed carcinomas (warty basaloid and adenobasaloid carcinoma)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sarcomatoid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Adenosquamous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth patterns of SCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Superficial spread</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Nodular or vertical-phase growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Verrucous</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Differentiation grading systems for SCC
- Broders’ grading system (8)
- Maiche’s system score (9)

6.2.1 Penile biopsy
There is no need for biopsy if:
- there is no doubt about the diagnosis and/or;
- treatment of the lymph nodes is postponed after treatment of the primary tumour and/or after histological examination of the sentinel node(s).

There is a need for histological confirmation if:
- there is doubt about the exact nature of the lesion (e.g. metastasis or melanoma) and/or;
- treatment of the lymph nodes is based on preoperative histological information (risk-adapted strategy).

In these cases an adequate biopsy is advised. When performing a biopsy, it is important to consider the findings from a study of biopsy size. Studies of biopsies with an average size of 0.1 cm found the following difficulties:
- difficulty in evaluating the extent of depth in 91% of biopsies;
- discordance between the grade at biopsy and in the final specimen in 30% of cases;
- failure to detect cancer in 3.5% of cases (1).

Thus, although a punch biopsy may be sufficient for superficial lesions, an excisional biopsy is preferred.

6.2.2 Pathological categories
Traditionally, SCC has been considered as superficial or invasive. However, Cubilla et al. (5) have divided penile carcinoma into four categories:
- superficial spreading;
- vertical growth;
- verrucous;
- multicentric.

Different types of growth pattern have different prognoses (10) and different ways of dissemination. The limits of partial surgical resection must therefore be set according to the growth pattern at the time of evaluation of the frozen sections (11). If the margins are studied following these criteria (including urethral and periurethral tissue), only 3-4 mm of tumour-free tissue is sufficient to consider the surgical margins to be negative (12). Basaloid SSC is a cellular subtype that is better recognised than before, and it is highly aggressive (13).

6.2.3 Histology and metastatic risk
Histological subtypes carry different risks of developing metastatic lymph nodes:
- Condylomatous: 18.2%;
- SCC: 56.7%;
- Sarcomatoid carcinoma: 89%.

Perineural (14) and lymphovascular invasion (14,15) are correlated with lymph node metastases, with 23.1% of positive lymph nodes associated with a nodular pattern, and 64.6% with an infiltrative pattern. Perineural invasion, lymphovascular invasion, and high histological grade appear to be the most important adverse pathological prognostic factors, reaching 80% mortality (15).

6.2.4 References

7. DIAGNOSIS AND STAGING

The primary tumour and regional lymph nodes must be staged correctly to enable the most appropriate treatment.

7.1 Primary lesion
Physical examination of a patient with penile cancer includes:
• diameter of the penile lesion or suspicious areas;
• location of lesion on the penis;
• number of lesions;
• morphology of lesion: papillary, nodular, ulcerous or flat;
• relationship of lesion to other structures, e.g. submucosa, tunica albuginea, and urethra;
• corpus spongiosum and corpus cavernosum;
• colour and boundaries of lesion;
• penis length.
Accurate histological diagnosis and staging of the primary tumour and regional nodes are necessary for making treatment decisions (1). In a small series, physical examination alone proved more reliable than imaging with ultrasound (US) to judge infiltration into the corpora cavernosa (2). Artificial erection with prostaglandin E1 (alprostadil) in combination with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is helpful in excluding tumour invasion into the corpora cavernosa, and deciding whether limited surgery (e.g. glansectomy) can be performed (3,4).

7.2 Regional lymph nodes

7.2.1 Lymphatic drainage of the penis

Primary lymphatic drainage of penile cancer occurs to the inguinal nodes. A recent single photon emission computed tomography (CT) study (5) has shown that all sentinel nodes were located in the superior and central inguinal zones, with most found in the medial superior zone. No lymphatic drainage was observed from the penis to the two inferior regions of the groin, and no direct drainage to the pelvic nodes was visualised. These findings confirm earlier studies (6-8).

7.2.2 Non-palpable nodes

Careful inguinal physical examination is necessary. In the absence of palpable abnormalities, inguinal US (7.5 MHz) can reveal abnormal nodes and can be used as a guide for fine-needle aspiration biopsy (FNAB) (9,10).

A sentinel node biopsy (SNB) (8) was not recommended until 10 years ago because of a high rate of false-negative results (25%, range: 9-50%) (11). However, recent reports have suggested that dynamic sentinel node biopsy (DSNB) using isosulphan blue and/or Tc99m-colloid sulphur improves survival compared to a ‘wait-and-see’ policy (LE: 3), and reduces side effects compared to those with inguinal lymphadenectomy (LAD) (12,13). Prospective studies on DSNB have obtained 100% specificity and 95% sensitivity (14-18) (LE: 2b). As analysis of dynamic SNB is operator-dependent (19) and relies on experience, the procedure is only available in a few centres. Nevertheless, a two-centre evaluation of DSNB has demonstrated the reproducibility of the technique, with a short learning curve (20).

Iliac lymph node metastases do not occur in the absence of inguinal metastases (19), therefore pelvic CT is not necessary in patients with no inguinal node metastases.

Conventional CT or MRI cannot detect micrometastases (21). No further studies have been performed to confirm the promising results reported with nanoparticle-enhanced MRI (22), but positron emission tomography (PET/CT) can detect pelvic and distant metastases (23).

7.2.3 Risk factors and metastasis detection

Patients with T1G1 category tumours do not need further therapy after local treatment, but in 13% up to 29% of cases those with intermediate T1G2 tumours can develop lymph node metastases (23,24). The risk for lymph node involvement can be evaluated by T and G categories and from other tumour characteristics.

Risk factors identified from retrospective studies include several pathological parameters, such as: perineural invasion, lymphovascular invasion, tumour depth or thickness, anatomical site, size and growth pattern, infiltrative front of invasion, positive resection margins, and urethral invasion (25). Several large series have identified lymphovascular invasion alone, lymphovascular invasion with absence of koilocytosis, lymphovascular invasion plus palpable inguinal nodes, and high histological grade plus perineural invasion as the most important risk factors (26-28).

Finally, the most adverse pathological prognostic factors appears to be lymphovascular invasion and high histological grade (28).

Nomograms have been used to evaluate the predictive value of clinical and pathological indicators, but pathological parameters and nomograms (23-30) cannot achieve more than 80% prediction (23-30). Only 18fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) PET/CT can improve the detection of early regional and distant metastases (31).

7.2.4 Palpable nodes

Palpable nodes should be described as follows:

- node consistency;
- node location;
- diameter of nodes or masses;
- unilateral or bilateral location;
- number of nodes identified in each inguinal area;
- mobile or fixed nodes or masses;
- relationship (e.g. infiltration or perforation) to other structures, such as the skin or cooper ligament;
- oedema of leg and/or scrotum.

Palpable lymph node metastases can be diagnosed using percutaneous FNAB (cytology and/or histology
PENILE CANCER

7.2.5 Conclusion
Imaging techniques (e.g., CT and MRI) are widely used, but they are only useful for staging patients with centimetre, or lymph node metastases ≥ 1 cm. So far, no current imaging modality can identify microscopic invasion. Imaging using 18FDG-PET/CT have some minor limitations (0.5 cm) (31). The use of molecular biological techniques is experimental (37-41).

7.3 Distant metastases
An assessment of distant metastases should be performed in patients with positive inguinal nodes (23-35) (LE: 2b). PET/CT is reliable for identification of pelvic and distant metastases in patients with positive inguinal nodes (31). Routine blood analysis and plain radiography chest are usually performed, despite the fact that they have limited use and lung metastases are exceptionally rare. The value of SCC antigen determination as a staging tool is unclear and therefore not recommended for routine use (37). Biological studies are investigational (38-41).

A diagnostic schedule is summarised below.

7.4 Guidelines for the diagnosis and staging of penile cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendations</th>
<th>GR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary tumour</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical examination, recording morphological and physical characteristics of the lesion.</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytological and/or histological diagnosis.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inguinal lymph nodes</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical examination of both groins, recording nodal morphological and physical characteristics:</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- If nodes are non-palpable, DSNB is indicated; if DSNB not available, US-guided FNAC/risk factors.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- If nodes are palpable, FNAC for cytological diagnosis.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Regional metastases (inguinal and pelvic nodes)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A pelvic CT/PET/CT is indicated in patients with metastatic inguinal nodes.</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Distant metastases (beside inguinal and pelvic nodes)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET/CT also allows evidence of distant metastasis.</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If PET/CT is not available, abdominal CT and plain radiography chest are advisable, and in symptomatic M1 patients a bone scan is also advisable.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biological laboratory</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determinations for penile cancer are investigational and not for clinical use.</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CT = computed tomography; DSNB = dynamic sentinel node biopsy; FNAC = fine-needle aspiration cytology; PET = positron emission tomography.
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8. TREATMENT

The primary tumour and regional lymph nodes are usually treated separately. Although it is important to avoid overtreatment, which can lead to loss of penile tissue and adverse effects of an unnecessary lymphadenectomy, it is essential to remove all cancerous tissue with healthy margins.

8.1 Primary tumour

Guidelines on treatment strategies for primary tumour in penile cancer are outlined in Table 6. For small lesions, a penis-preserving strategy is recommended (GR: B). There is a variety of treatment modalities, which have not been compared in a scientifically rigorous manner, and providing recommendations based on published data is therefore difficult. However, treatment choice is influenced by tumour size, its position on the glans or in the corpora cavernosa, and experience of the treating urologist. There are no documented differences in the local recurrence rate between surgery, laser therapy, and radiotherapy. Although conservative surgery improves quality of life, the risk of local recurrence is higher than after ablative surgery (27% vs. 5%). The pathological assessment of surgical margins is essential to guarantee tumour-free margins (1). Tumour-positive margins lead inevitably to local recurrence. Total removal of the glans (glandectomy) and prepuce does have the lowest recurrence rate among the treatment modalities for small penile lesions (2%) (2).

8.1.1 Categories Tis, Ta, and T1a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Superficial lesions can be treated with one of the following penis-sparing techniques:</th>
<th>LE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local excision with (or without) circumcision.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser therapy with CO2 laser (penoscopically controlled) or neodymium:yttrium-aluminium-garnet (Nd:YAG) laser (3-5). Small recurrences can be retreated in the same way.</td>
<td>2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohs’ micrographic surgery (for verrucous carcinoma) (6).</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photodynamic and topical therapy with 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) or 5% imiquimod cream and other agents have been reported for superficial lesions with relatively high recurrence rates (7).</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.1.2 Category T1b tumours of the glans with deeper infiltration (> 1 mm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>These tumours can be treated with the following techniques:</th>
<th>LE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wide local (laser) excision plus reconstructive surgery or total glans resurfacing with or without skin transplantation (3).</td>
<td>2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoadjuvant chemotherapy [vinblastine, bleomycin, and methotrexate (VBM)] followed by CO2 laser excision and spontaneous glans re-epithelialisation (3).</td>
<td>2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiotherapy (see section 8.1.7).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glansectomy (2,8-11).</td>
<td>2b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conservative treatment may be less suitable in cases of multifocal lesions, which are responsible for 15% of recurrences. Total treatment of the glans surface combined with concomitant circumcision is recommended to avoid multiple recurrences (3) (GR: C).
Negative surgical margins are imperative when using penile-conserving treatments. Pathological assessment of the surgical margins is recommended (GR: C). In general, a margin of 3 mm is considered safe (1).

### 8.1.3 Category T2 (limited to the glans)

Total glansectomy, with or without resurfacing of the corporeal heads, is recommended (8,10) (LE: 2b; GR: B). Radiotherapy is also an option (see section 8.1.7). Partial amputation should be considered in patients who are unfit for more conservative reconstructive surgery (11) (GR: C).

### 8.1.4 Local disease recurrence after conservative surgery

A second conservative procedure is advised if there is no corpus cavernosum invasion (2-8) (GR: C). If there is a large or deep infiltrating recurrence, partial or total amputation is inevitable (11) (GR: B). For those cases a total phallic reconstruction should be considered (12,13).

### 8.1.5 Category T2 with invasion into the corpus cavernosum

Partial amputation with a tumour-free margin is considered standard treatment (11) (GR: B). A surgical margin of 5-10 mm is considered safe (1). Reconstruction may alleviate the mutilation (10,12,13).

### 8.1.6 Categories T3 and T4

These categories of patients are rare (e.g. 5% in Europe and 13% in Brazil) (13). Total penectomy with perineal urethrostomy is standard surgical treatment for T3 tumours (14) (GR: B). Spatulating the urethra is helpful in preventing stenosis. In more advanced disease (T4), neoadjuvant chemotherapy is advised, followed by surgery in responding patients (as for management of patients with fixed or relapsed inguinal nodes (see section 8.2.4) (GR: B). Otherwise, adjuvant chemotherapy or consolidating radiation is advised (GR: C; see sections 8.2.4 and 8.1.7).

### 8.1.7 Radiotherapy

Radiotherapy of the primary tumour is an alternative organ-preserving approach with good results in selected patients with T1-2 lesions < 4 cm in diameter (15-18) (LE: 2b). Best results have been obtained with brachytherapy with local control rates ranging from 70-90% (15,17). Patients with lesions > 4 cm are not candidates for brachytherapy.

A minimum dose of 60 Gy is given for external radiotherapy combined with a brachytherapy boost, or brachytherapy alone (15-18). The penile preservation rate after radiotherapy is approximately 80%. Local failure rates after radiotherapy are higher than after partial penectomy, but salvage surgery can restore local control (16). The following complications are the most prevalent: urethral stenosis (20-35%), glans necrosis (10-20%), and late fibrosis of the corpora cavernosa (18) (LE: 3).

No scientifically sound recommendations can be given regarding surgical procedures versus radiotherapy. Institutional experience and available techniques play an important role in decision making.

### 8.1.8 Guidelines for treatment strategies for penile cancer

Table 6 provides a graded treatment schedule, also including the level of the underlying evidence on which the recommendations are based.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary tumour</th>
<th>Conservative treatment is to be considered whenever possible</th>
<th>LE</th>
<th>GR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category Tis, Ta, T1a (G1, G2)</td>
<td>CO2, or Nd:YAG laser surgery, wide local excision, glans resurfacing, or glans resection, depending on size and location of the tumour.</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mohs’ micrographic surgery or photodynamic therapy for well differentiated superficial lesions (Tis, G1 Ta).</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category: T1b (G3) and T2 (glans only)</td>
<td>Glansectomy, with or without tips amputation or reconstruction.</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category T2 (invasion of the corpora)</td>
<td>Partial amputation.</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category T3 (invasion of urethra)</td>
<td>Total amputation with perineal urethrostomy.</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.2 Regional lymph nodes

Guidelines on treatment strategies for nodal metastases are presented in section 8.2.7. Lymphadenectomy is the treatment of choice for patients with inguinal lymph node metastases (GR: B). The procedure requires careful skin-flap management, meticulous lymph node dissection, prophylactic antibiotics, compression stockings, and early ambulation. Prolonged lymph leakage, leg and scrotal lymphoedema, skin-flap necrosis, and wound infection can occur in 30-70% of patients (LE: 2b). Recent studies have shown a decrease in complications, which suggests that these procedures should be done by experienced surgeons (19).

8.2.1 Surveillance

Surveillance can be recommended only in patients with Tis, Ta, and T1G1 tumours (14,19,20).

8.2.2 Management of patients with non-palpable inguinal nodes

All non-invasive diagnostic procedures miss approximately 20% of microscopic metastases. Also, the sensitivity of a published nomogram does not exceed 80% (21) (LE: 2b). Various risk factors have been helpful in stratifying node-negative patients for lymph node dissection (14,19-21) (LE: 2b). This approach was the basis for the 2004 guideline recommendations for the management of clinically node-negative patients (22). In centres without sentinel node diagnostics, these recommendations can still be useful. In addition, T1G2 tumours should be considered intermediate risk, based on a recent analysis (23). The experience from Brazil can be used as a gold standard for survival rates that can only be attained by surgery (14,19). Only DSNB has better sensitivity (94%) (24) (LE: 2b).

To identify the sentinel nodes reliably, preoperative mapping is essential. Tc99m nanocolloid is injected the day before surgery, patent blue is injected, and a γ-ray detection probe is used intraoperatively. Complete inguinal LAD is performed only in tumour-positive patients. The current protocol has a sensitivity of 95% (24). The technique is now reproducible with a short learning curve (25) (GR: B).

Considering the rarity of the disease and possible improvements in diagnosis and treatment, centralisation of patients is recommended. Centralisation of patients with penile SCC in 10 centres in the United Kingdom allowed improvement in the cure of the disease within a few years (26).

8.2.3 Management of patients with palpable inguinal nodes

US-guided FNAB provides an excellent, rapid, and easy way to detect metastatic nodal involvement (27) (LE: 3). In suspected cases with tumour-negative findings, various strategies can be followed:

1. antibiotics are given;
2. FNAB is repeated;
3. suspected nodes are surgically removed;
4. inguinal LAD is performed. Dynamic sentinel node biopsy is not reliable in patients with palpable suspected nodes and should not be used (28) (LE: 3); DSNB can be used for the clinically uninvolved side and LAD is performed at the tumour-positive sites. Inguinal LAD has been shown to have significant morbidity and it is to be limited to positive sides.

In advanced cases, reconstructive surgery is often necessary for primary wound closure (29). Modified inguinal LAD is associated with less morbidity, but reducing the field of dissection increases the possibility of false-negative results. Current knowledge on lymphatic drainage of the penis suggests that modified LAD should dissect at least the central and both superior Daseler’s zones (30,31) (LE: 3).

There is no direct lymphatic drainage from penile tumours to the pelvic lymph nodes (30), therefore, pelvic LAD is not needed if there is no involvement of inguinal nodes or there is only one intranodal metastasis (14,19) (LE: 3).

In contrast, pelvic LAD is recommended if the node of Cloquet or two or more inguinal nodes
are involved. The rate of positive pelvic nodes was found to be 23% in cases with more than two positive inguinal nodes, and 56% for those with more than three positive inguinal nodes, or if there was extracapsular involvement in at least one inguinal node (14,19) (LE: 2b). Pelvic LAD can be performed as a secondary procedure.

If bilateral dissection is indicated, it can be performed though a midline suprapubic extraperitoneal incision. It is also important to avoid delay for LAD (31). Laparoscopy is not suitable for radical surgery.

8.2.4 Adjuvant chemotherapy

Adjuvant chemotherapy after resection of nodal metastases has been reported in a few small heterogeneous series. Nevertheless, at the National Cancer Institute in Milan, Italy, a long-term disease-free survival (DFS) rate of 84% was obtained in 25 consecutive node-positive patients treated with 12 adjuvant weekly courses of VBM during the period 1979-1990 (32,33). This compares with a DFS rate of only 39% for 38 consecutive patients who underwent radical LAD, with or without complementary radiotherapy, in the period 1960-1978 (32).

Since 1991, category pN2-3 patients have received three courses of adjuvant cisplatin and 5-FU, with lower toxicity and even better results compared to VBM (33) (LE: 2b). Category pN1 patients do not need adjuvant chemotherapy (33) (LE: 2b).

8.2.5 Management of patients with fixed or relapsed inguinal nodes

Upfront surgery is not recommended (GR: B) because cure is unlikely, survival is short, and the surgery is usually quite destructive. Upfront chemotherapy followed by surgery is promising, and these procedures should be performed by experienced medical oncologists and surgeons (14,32,33).

Multiple regimens have been used in a small number of patients. Cisplatin, methotrexate, and bleomycin (BMP) at Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center in New York have shown promising results, but a confirmatory study by the Southwest Oncology Group has reported unacceptable toxicity and only modest results (34).

Leijte et al. have reported on 20 patients with five different neoadjuvant chemotherapy regimens in the 1972-2005 period (36). Responders underwent post-chemotherapy surgery and achieved a 37% long-term survival rate. At the MD Anderson Cancer Center, combination therapy with paclitaxel, carboplatin or paclitaxel, cisplatin, and ifosfamide has been used in seven patients, followed by surgery (37). Four patients were long-term survivors (48-84 months) but none of the other three patients treated with BMP achieved significant remission.

A preliminary study on taxol combined with cisplatin and 5-FU has shown significant responses in five of six patients with fixed or relapsed inguinal nodes, but only the three who underwent post-chemotherapy surgery achieved durable complete remission (38).

**Conclusions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management of regional lymph nodes is fundamental in the treatment of penile cancer</th>
<th>LE</th>
<th>GR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No palpable inguinal nodes</td>
<td>Tis, Ta G1, T1G1: surveillance.</td>
<td>2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; T1G2: DSNB. (NB: Inguinal LAD if histology is positive).</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If DSNB not available: risk factors / nomogram decision-making.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Palpable inguinal nodes
- US-guided FNAB (DSNB is unsuitable for palpable nodes).
- Negative biopsy: surveillance (repeat biopsy).
- Positive biopsy: inguinal LAD on positive side.
- (NB: Modified LAD must include the central zone and both superior Daseler’s zones).

Pelvic nodes
- Pelvic LAD if there is: extranodal metastasis; Cloquet node involved; > 2 inguinal node metastases.
- Unilateral pelvic LAD if unilateral lymph node metastases with prolonged inguinal incision.
- Bilateral pelvic LAD if bilateral inguinal metastases.

Adjuvant chemotherapy
- In patients with > 1 intranodal metastasis (pN2 pN3) after radical LAD, survival is improved by adjuvant chemotherapy (3 courses of cisplatin, fluorouracil [PF] chemotherapy).

Patients with fixed or relapsed inguinal nodes
- Neo-adjuvant chemotherapy is strongly recommended in patients with unresectable or recurrent lymph node metastases.
- Taxanes seems to improve the efficacy of standard PF chemotherapy (or carboplatin).

Radiotherapy
- Curative radiotherapy may be used for primary tumours of the glans penis and sulcus < 4 cm or for palliation.
- Prophylactic radiotherapy in clinical N0 patients is not indicated.

DSNB = dynamic sentinel node biopsy; FNAB = fine-needle aspiration biopsy; LAD = lymphadenectomy.
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9. FOLLOW-UP

Follow-up in penile carcinoma is important for several reasons:

- It enables early detection of recurrence, which is important because most local and/or loco-regional recurrences are potentially curable.
- It is the only way to assess treatment and anticipate early and late complications.
- It is important for patient (and physician) education.

A rational follow-up scheme requires an understanding of the patterns of recurrence. Preferably, follow-up should be introduced within the framework of a controlled study. Based on a retrospective study, a follow-up schedule for penile cancer has been published (1).

9.1 How to follow-up

The aim of follow-up is to detect local and/or regional recurrences because they can be cured. In contrast, metastases at distant sites are always fatal (2). Risk stratification for recurrence is also helpful. Traditional follow-up methods are inspection and physical evaluation. Modern US is a useful adjunct, with promising results from new imaging modalities, such as PET/CT (3).

9.2 When to follow-up

The follow-up interval and strategies for patients with penile cancer are directed by the initial treatment of the primary lesion and regional lymph nodes. In the above-mentioned multicentre study (1), during the first 2 years of follow-up, the following occurred:

- 74.3% of all recurrences;
- 66.4% of local recurrences;
- 86.1% of regional recurrences;
- 100% of distant recurrences.

Of all recurrences, 92.2% occurred within the first 5 years (1). All recurrences after 5 years were...
local recurrences or new primary lesions. Thus, an intensive programme of follow-up during the first 2 years is rational, with less intensive follow-up needed thereafter. In well-educated and motivated patients, follow-up can stop after 5 years, although they must continue to carry out regular self-examination.

### 9.3 Primary tumour

Local recurrence has been reported in up to 30% of patients treated with penis-preserving surgery, during the first 2 years following treatment. Local recurrence is more likely with all types of local therapy, that is, local resection, laser therapy, brachytherapy, Mohs’ procedure, and associated therapies (1,4). However, in contrast to regional recurrence, local recurrence does not have an impact on survival (1,4).

Local recurrences are easily detected by the patient, his partner or doctor. Patient education is an important part of follow-up and the patient should be urged to visit a specialist if any changes are seen. Despite the fact that late local recurrences are well documented, it is reasonable to stop follow-up after 5 years, provided the patient will report local changes immediately (5). This is possible because life-threatening regional and distant metastases no longer occur, while recurrences that are local only are not life-threatening. The emphasis should be placed on patient self-examination. In patients who are unlikely to self-examine, long-term follow-up may be necessary.

Following penis-preserving treatment, a follow-up visit every 3 months is advised in the first 2 years. We then advise a follow-up visit every 6 months, provided that the patient and his partner have been well instructed to examine the penis regularly and to return if any abnormality is observed. It is important to stress that the patient must continue to carry out regular self-examination even after 5 years’ follow-up. After amputation, a less frequent time interval of every 6 months is advised. The risk of local recurrence is no more than 5% (1,4).

### 9.4 Regional recurrences

Stringent follow-up is advised for the 2 years following surgery. This is because most regional recurrences occur within that time, whether a ‘wait-and-see’ policy has been followed or the patient has undergone SNB or inguinal LAD.

Previous follow-up recommendations have relied heavily on physical examination of the inguinal regions. However, experience with ‘wait and see’ and DSNB have shown that, despite intensive follow-up, regional recurrences have shown up unexpectedly (6). US and immediate FNAB have been encouraging in finding occult metastases (6,7), and it seems reasonable to add US to physical examination.

Patients managed with a ‘wait-and-see’ policy have a higher risk of recurrence (9%) than patients staged surgically (2.3%), irrespective whether staging has been performed by LAD or DSNB. This finding only applies to patients without histopathological evidence of lymph node metastases.

Patients treated surgically because of lymph node metastases have an increased risk of recurrence (19%) (1). Based on these findings, a change in the follow-up scheme is proposed. For patients in a ‘wait-and-see’ programme and those treated with LAD for proven lymph node metastases, follow-up should be every 3 months and should include US investigation of the groin. This intensive follow-up programme should be observed for 2 years, which is the period when recurrence is most likely. Imaging using CT has been replaced by US scanning with immediate FNAB, and PET/CT is used in patients at risk of regional recurrence and distant metastases. Bone scan and other tests are only recommended in symptomatic patients.

### 9.5 Guidelines for follow-up in penile cancer

Table 7 provides a follow-up schedule for penile cancer with grades of recommendation.

**Table 7: Follow-up schedule for penile cancer**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interval of follow-up</th>
<th>Examinations and investigations</th>
<th>Maximum duration of follow-up</th>
<th>GR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Years 1 and 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penile preserving treatment</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>Regular physician or self-examination</td>
<td>5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amputation</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Regular physician or self-examination</td>
<td>5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Wait-and-see’</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>Regular physician or self-examination</td>
<td>5 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**9.6 References**


**10. QUALITY OF LIFE**

10.1 Sexuality and fertility after cancer

As more people achieve long-term survival after cancer, sexual dysfunction and infertility are increasingly recognised as negative consequences that affect the quality of life (1).

10.1.1 Sexual activity and quality of life after penile cancer laser treatment

A retrospective, face-to-face, structured interview study was carried out on Swedish patients treated with laser for localised penile carcinoma during 1986 to 2000 (2). Sixty-seven patients were treated, with 58 of them (mean age 63 years) still alive in 2006. Forty-six (79%) agreed to participate in the interview. Nearly all patients could recall their first symptom, with 37% reporting that they delayed seeking treatment for > 6 months. Patients had a greater lifetime number of sexual partners and a greater lifetime prevalence of sexually transmitted infections than the comparable general Swedish population. Following laser treatment, there was a marked decrease in some sexual practices, such as manual stimulation or caressing and fellatio. Patient satisfaction with life overall was similar to that of the general population.

In conclusion, some patients delayed seeking treatment for a considerable period despite awareness of the first local symptoms. Men with laser-treated localised penile carcinoma resumed their sexual activities to a large extent. Except for satisfaction with somatic health, a similar (or higher) proportion of patients were satisfied with life overall and with other domains of life including their sex life.

10.1.2 Sexual function after partial penectomy for penile cancer

To compare sexual function and satisfaction before and after partial penectomy, 18 Brazilian patients were given a personal interview and answered the International Index of Erectile Function questionnaire to determine erectile function, orgasmic function, sexual desire, intercourse satisfaction, and overall satisfaction with sexual activity (3). The median patient age was 52 years. The medium penile length after partial penectomy was...
4 cm in the flaccid state, with 55.6% of patients reporting erectile function that allowed sexual intercourse. The main reason given for not resuming sexual intercourse in 50% of sexually abstinent patients was feeling shame because of a small penis and the absence of the glans penis. Surgical complications also compromised resumption of sexual activity after amputation in 33.3% of these patients. However, 66.7% sustained the same frequency and level of sexual desire prior to surgery, and 72.2% continued to have ejaculation and orgasm every time they had sexual stimulation or intercourse. Nevertheless, only 33.3% maintained their preoperative frequency of sexual intercourse and were satisfied with their sexual relationships with their partners and their overall sex life. In conclusion, the preoperative and postoperative scores were statistically worse for all domains of sexual function after partial penectomy.

10.2 Sexual mutilation, relapse, and death
Today, nearly 80% of penile cancer patients can be cured. Experience in management of this rare tumour is helpful (4). Referral to centres with experience is recommended. Psychological support is very important for these patients. Penis-sparing surgery obviously allows a better quality of life than penile amputation and must be considered whenever feasible.
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11. ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THE TEXT

This list is not comprehensive for the most common abbreviations.

5-FU  5-fluorouracil
BMP  cisplatin, methotrexate and bleomycin
CT  computed tomography
DFS  disease-free survival
DSNB  dynamic sentinel node biopsy
EAU  European Association of Urology
FDA  [US] Food and Drug Administration
FDG  fluorodeoxyglucose
FNAB  fine-needle aspiration biopsy
FNAC  fine-needle aspiration cytology
GR  grade of recommendation
HPV  human papillomavirus
LAD  lymphadenectomy
LE  level of evidence
MRI  magnetic resonance imaging
Nd:YAG  neodymium:yttrium-aluminum-garnet
PET  positron emission tomography
PF  cisplatin and fluorouracil
SCC  squamous cell carcinoma
SNB  sentinel node biopsy
TC99m  technetium 99m
TNM  tumour, node, metastasis
VBM  vinblastine, bleomycin, methotrexate
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